Using Smartphone-Based Human Activity Recognition System for Health Monitoring using machine learning

Hassan Mahamud Mridul(211-15-3961)
[1] mridul15-3961@diu.edu.bd

Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

Abstract: The thing of the developing field of mortal- centered calculating exploration is to comprehend mortal geste and integrate computer systems with druggies and their social surroundings. In this frame, one of the newest, most intriguing, and grueling operations is the use of smartphones to descry mortal body stir and collect contextual data about people's conduct. In this regard, we present in this work an exertion Recognition database that was constructed using recordings of thirty subjects performing exertion of Daily Living(ADL) while wearing a smartphone mounted on their waistline that has inertial detectors erected in. The database is made available to the public on a well- known online depository. Acknowledgments are also made for results that were attained on the dataset through the use of a multiclass stay Vector Machine(SVM).

1. Introduction

When a person provides a set of observances of themselves and their surroundings, mortal exertion Recognition(HAR) attempts to identify the conduct that person takes in those surroundings. exercising data came by from multiple sources, analogous as environmental(1) or body- worn sensors(2, 3), can help achieve recognition. Some approaches haIn order to achieve good type performance, some approaches have conformed specialized stir sensors in various body corridor, including the cutters, wrists, waist, and casket(4). generallyun-comfortable for the common user and do not give a long- term result for exertion monitoring(e.g. sensor displacing after dressing(5)).

With the phone serving as a firsthand seeing tool and the user as a rich source of terrain information, smartphones are opening up new disquisition openings for mortal- centered operations. modern contraptions have erected- in sensors bedded in them, including gyroscopes, accelerometers, microphones, and double cameras. An alternate remedy for HAR is the use of smartphones equipped with inertial sensors. These considerably available contraptions offer telephony services in addition to being a flexible, affordable, and tone- contained way to automatically and discreetly cover exertion of quotidian living(ADL). As a result, in the formerly numerous times, several factory that essay to use smartphones to understand mortal behavior have been proposed. For illustration, in(6), one of the first styles to use an Android smartphone for HAR using its bedded triaxial accelerometers is presented; further results are also available in

No.	Static	Time (sec)	No.	Dynamic	Time (sec)
0	Start (Standing Pos)	0	7	Walk (1)	15
1	Stand (1)	15	8	Walk (2)	15
2	Sit (1)	15	9	Walk Downstairs (1)	12
3	Stand (2)	15	10	Walk Upstairs (2)	12
4	Lay Down (1)	15	11	Walk Downstairs (1)	12
5	Sit (2)	15	12	Walk Upstairs (2)	12
6	Lay Down (2)	15	13	Walk Downstairs (3)	12
			14	Walk Upstairs (3)	12
			15	Stop	0
				Total	**192**

Table 1: Protocol of activities for the HAR Experiment.

40).

41 Advancements are still anticipated in disciplines similar as furnishing public data for
42 evaluation, homogenizing performance evaluation criteria(9), and employingmulti- detector
43 conflationfor enhanced HAR type. A numerous of the HAR disquisition frame's datasets are openly
44 accessible. Some datasets from the HAR disquisition frame are privately available. For case, the
45 Opportunity Project(10) recorded a set of ADL in a sensor-rich terrain using 72
46 body and environmental sensors. In a similar tone, other factory(11) and(12) have
47 made data privately available. privately accessible datasets offer a freely accessible
48 source of data for researchers in the field as well as for scholars in other disciplines.
49 To address this, we present a new dataset aimed at relating six distinct mortal
50 exertion, which was created using inertial data from smartphone accelerometers and
51 gyroscopes. Some of the results attained by exercising a multiclass Support Vector
52 Machine(SVM) classifier are also presented(13).
53 3. **results**
54 We ran some tests on the HAR dataset in order to give unborn druggies with some
55 findings. We use state- of- the- art Support Vector Machine(SVM)(13) double
56 classifiers for this purpose, which are generalized to the multiclass case using a
57 One- Vs- All(OVA) approach Gaussian kernels are used in our trials, and the
58 SVM hyperparameters are named using a10-fold Cross Validation procedure.
59 Table 4 displays the bracket results for the six ADL using the multiclass
60 SVM(MC- SVM). With 2947 patterns in the test data, they demonstrate an overall
61 delicacy of 96. similar performance(90 – 96) has been demonstrated in
62 former work on HAR using special purpose detectors. This makes it possible to argue
63 that using cellphones isn't only a practical means of carrying out HAR efficiently but
64 also less protrusive and conspicuous than other special purpose results(similar as
65 wearable detectors). In(18), a casket- mounted accelerometer was used to classify 5
66 ADL, yielding a recognition performance of93.9. This allows to argue that the use
67 of smartphones, in addition to be more invisible and less invasive than other
68 special purpose results(e.g. wear- suitable detectors), is a doable way to walk for
69 effectively performing HAR. It is also worth

	WK	WU	WD	ST	SD	LD	**Recall**
Walking	**492**	1	3	0	0	0	99%
W. Upstairs	18	**451**	2	0	0	0	96%
W. Downstairs	4	6	**410**	0	0	0	98%
Sitting	0	2	0	**432**	57	0	88%
Standing	0	0	0	14	**518**	0	97%
Laying Down	0	0	0	0	0	**537**	100%
Precision	96%	98%	99%	97%	90%	100%	**96%**

70
71 Table 4 shows the confusion matrix for themulti-class SVM bracket results on
72 the test data. The prognosticated class is represented by columns, and the factual class is
73 represented by rows. There are syncopated conditioning titles at the top.
74 demonstrating the 7 superiority of the MC- SVM model over the classifier trained on

our previous dataset, which is detailed in(19), when only the smartphone's acceleration data were used for recognition This implies that the literacy process can be eased by the new features included in the intimately accessible dataset as shown in Section2.2.

Recall and perfection measures are used to illustrate the bracket performance for each class. The exertion touching sitting has the smallest recall, at 88. In particular, there's a perceptible imbrication in the misclassification of this exertion and standing, which is related to the device's physical position and the difficulty in classifying them. unborn exploration must examine the necessary measures to enhance the distinction of thesenon-dynamic conditioning(e.g. preface of new features, for illustration deduced by gyroscopes).

4.Techniques

A number of trials were toted out in order to knock down the HAR dataset. A group of thirty levies, periods 19 to 48, were named for this task. With a Samsung Galaxy S II smartphone strapped around their waistline, each person entered instructions on how to negotiate a series of tasks. The six ADLs that were named were lying down, walking, walking upstairs and downward , and sitting. Each party finalized the protocol twice the smartphone was fastened to the left side of the belt for the first trial, and the stoner placed it wherever they pleased for the alternate. Every task is tried doubly, and individualities are instructed to take a 5-alternate break after finishing it. This encourages reiteration and ground trough generation through the visual interface. In an attempt to produce a more realistic dataset, levies were asked to work at their own pace while the tasks were being completed in a lab terrain. Table 1 shows the protocol for the trial.

5. Signal Processing

We collected triaxial linear acceleration and angular velocity signals at a 50 Hz sampling rate using the phone's accelerometer and gyroscope. These signals underwent pre-processing using a median filter and a third-order low-pass to reduce noise. Butter-

Name	Time	Freq.
Body Acc	1	1
Gravity Acc	1	0
Body Acc Jerk	1	1
Body Angular Speed	1	1
Body Angular Acc	1	0
Body Acc Magnitude	1	1
Gravity Acc Mag	1	0
Body Acc Jerk Mag	1	1
Body Angular Speed Mag	1	1
Body Angular Acc Mag	1	1

Table 2: Time and frequency domain signals obtained from the smartphone sensors.

20 Hz arrestment frequence worth sludge. Since 99 of the energy in the mortal body is contained below 15 Hz, this rate is acceptable for landing its stir(3). Using a different Butterworth low- pass sludge, the acceleration signal — which consists of both body stir and gravitational factors was divided into two orders body acceleration and graveness. Since it's assumed that the gravitational force only consists of low frequence factors, our trials showed that the ideal corner frequence for a steady graveness signal was0.3 Hz. redundant time signals were acquired by calculating the euclidean magnitude and time derivations(haul da/ dt and angular acceleration dw/ dt) from the triaxial signals. After that, the time signals were tried in sliding

windows with a fixed range of2.56 seconds and
50 of them lap, because
• An average person walks at a meter of at least1.5 way per second, or
between 90 and 130 way per nanosecond(14). c;
• For every window sample, at least two way of a full walking cycle are preferred;
• This fashion should also be helpful to aged and impaired people whose hearts
beat more sluggishly. We assumed that a minimal speed would be 50 of the
typical mortal meter;
• also, signals are counterplotted in the frequence sphere using an FFT that is
optimized for the power of two vectors(2.56 seconds × 50 Hz = 128
cycles). les).
As a result, this system produced a aggregate of 17 signals, which are listed in Table 2.

6. Feature Mapping

A vector of features was deduced from every tried window that was preliminarily described. For the point mapping, standard criteria like the mean, correlation, signal magnitude area(SMA), and autoregression portions(16), which have been used in the HAR literature preliminarily(15), were used. In addition, a fresh set of characteristics — similar as the energy of colorful frequence bands, frequence skewness, and angle between vectors was used to enhance literacy performance(e.g. mean body acceleration and y vector). The list of all the measures made on the time and frequence sphere signals is included in Table 3.

Five hundred and sixty- one features were uprooted to characterize every exertion window. In order to ease the performance assessment, the dataset has been also aimlessly partitioned into

Function	Description
mean	Mean value
std	Standard deviation
mad	Median absolute value
max	Largest values in array
min	Smallest value in array
sma	Signal magnitude area
energy	Average sum of the squares
iqr	Interquartile range
entropy	Signal Entropy
arCoeff	Autorregresion coefficients
correlation	Correlation coefficient
maxFreqInd	Largest frequency component
meanFreq	Frequency signal weighted average
skewness	Frequency signal Skewness
kurtosis	Frequency signal Kurtosis
energyBand	Energy of a frequency interval
angle	Angle between two vectors

Table 3: List of measures for computing feature vectors.

70 of the data from the two independent sets were chosen for training, and the remaining 30 were chosen for testing. The raw inertial detector signals as well as point vectors for every pattern are presented in the intimately accessible mortal exertion Recognition dataset. It has been submitted as the mortal exertion Recognition using Smartphones dataset in the UCI Machine Learning Repository(17) and can be penetrated following this link(information concerning the licensing and operation of the data can be recaptured in the readme train included)

7. Conclusions

We conceded certain results using a multiclass Support Vector Machine approach in this paper and presented a new intimately available dataset for HAR using smartphones. Stronger substantiation for the use of these bias for HAR purposes comes

from the multiclass SVM used for the bracket of smartphone inertial data, which demonstrated recognition performance similar to earlier work using special purpose detectors. We also demonstrated that the learned model using this new dataset performed more in bracket than the former interpretation, which had smaller features. There's still space for enhancement, however, asnon-dynamic conduct still parade misclassification overlaps indeed though dynamic conditioning can be efficiently classified thanks to the lately added features in the published dataset. This calls for a revamping of the HAR process channel phases and fresh exploration into the available inputs. Eventually, features of the operation's computational complexity like battery life and real- time processing will be estimated in the workshop we will soon complete.

8.Acknowledgements

This work was championed in portion by the Erasmus Mundus Joint Doctorate in Interactive and Cognitive environs, which is subsidized by the EACEA Agency of the European Commission under EMJD ICE FPA n 2010- 0012.

References

[1] R. Poppe. Vision-based human motion analysis: An overview. Computer Vision and Image Under-standing, 108(1-2):4–18, 2007.

[2] P. Lukowicz, J.A. Ward, H. Junker, M. Sta ¨ger, G. Tro ¨ster, A. Atrash, and T. Starner. Recognizing work- shop activity using body worn microphones and accelerometers. Proceedings of the 2nd Int Conference Pervasive Computing, pages 18–22, 2004.

[3] D.M. Karantonis, M.R. Narayanan, M. Mathie, N.H. Lovell, and B.G. Celler. Implementation of a real-time human movement classifier using a triaxial accelerometer for ambulatory monitoring. IEEE Transactions on Information Technology in Biomedicine, 10(1):156–167, 2006.

[4] R. Nishkam, D. Nikhil, M. Preetham, and M.L. Littman. Activity recognition from accelerometer data. In Proceedings of the Seventeenth Conference on Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence, pages 1541–1546, 2005.

[5] L. Bao and S.S. Intille. Activity recognition from user-annotated acceleration data. In T. Kanade,J. Kittler, J.M. Kleinberg, F. Mattern, J.C. Mitchell, O. Nierstrasz, C. Pandu Rangan, B. Steffen, D. Ter- zopoulos, D. Tygar, M.Y. Vardi, and A. Ferscha, editors, Pervasive Computing, pages 1–17. 2004.

[6] J.R. Kwapisz, G.M. Weiss, and S.A. Moore. Activity recognition using cell phone accelerometers.SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter, 12(2):74–82, 2011.

[7] T. Brezmes, J.L. Gorricho, and J. Cotrina. Activity recognition from accelerometer data on a mobile phone. Distributed Computing, Artificial Intelligence, Bioinformatics, Soft Computing, and Ambient Assisted Living, pages 796–799, 2009.

[8] W. Wu, S. Dasgupta, E.E. Ramirez, C. Peterson, and G.J. Norman. Classification accuracies of physical activities using smartphone motion sensors. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 14(5),2012.

[9] M.F.A. bin Abdullah, A.F.P. Negara, M.S. Sayeed, D.J. Choi, and K.S. Muthu. Classification algorithms in human activity recognition using smartphones. International Journal of Computer and Information Engineering, 6:77–84, 2012.

[10] D. Roggen, A. Calatroni, M. Rossi, T. Holleczek, K. Fo ¨rster, G. Tro ¨ster, P. Lukowicz, D. Bannach,G. Pirkl, and A. Ferscha. Collecting complex activity data sets in highly rich networked sensor envi-ronments. In Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Networked Sensing Systems 2010,2010.

[11] E.M. Tapia, S.S. Intille, L. Lopez, and K. Larson. The design of a portable kit of wireless sensors for naturalistic data collection. In Proceedings of PERVASIVE 2006, pages 117–134, 2006.

[12] S. Dernbach, B. Das, N.C. Krishnan, B.L. Thomas, and D.J. Cook. Simple and complex activity recog- nition through smart phones. In 2012 8th International Conference on Intelligent Environments, pages 214–221, 2012.

[13] C. Cortes and V. Vapnik. Support-vector networks. Machine learning, 20(3):273–297, 1995.

[14] C. BenAbdelkader, R. Cutler, and L. Davis. Stride and cadence as a biometric in automatic person identification and verification. In Proceedings of the Fifth IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition, pages 372–377, 2002.

[15] J.Y. Yang, J.S. Wang, and Y.P. Chen. Using acceleration measurements for activity recognition: Aneffective learning algorithm for constructing neural classifiers. Pattern recognition letters,29(16):2213– 2220, 2008.

[16] A.M. Khan, Y.-K. Lee, S.Y. Lee, and T.-S. Kim. Human activity recognition via an accelerometer-enabled-smartphone using kernel discriminant analysis. In Proceedings of the 5th International Con-ference on Future Information Technology, pages 1–6, 2010.

[17] A. Frank and A. Asuncion. UCI machine learning repository, 2010.

[18] Y. Hanai, J. Nishimura, and T. Kuroda. Haar-like filtering for human activity recognition using 3d accelerometer. In Digital Signal Processing Workshop and 5th IEEE Signal Processing EducationWorkshop, 2009. DSP/SPE 2009. IEEE 13th, pages 675 –678, jan. 2009.

[19] D. Anguita, A. Ghio, L. Oneto, X. Parra, and J.L. Reyes-Ortiz. Human activity recognition on smart-phones using a multiclass hardware-friendly support vector machine. In Proceedings of the Interna-tional Workshop of Ambient Assited Living, 2012.